## EXHIBIT A

## THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

\* \* \* \* \*

HEADWATER RESEARCH, LLC \* NO. 2:22-CV-422-JRG-RSP

\* Marshall, Texas

VS. 9:00 a.m. - 12:15 p.m.

\* 1:17 p.m. - 4:50 p.m. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS, et al \* July 2, 2024

## PRE-TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE JUDGE ROY S. PAYNE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Proceedings recorded by computer stenography Produced by computer-aided transcription

> EDWARD L. REED EdReedCR@aol.com 409-330-1605

I'll see what I can do. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. 3 MR. DAVIS: So, with respect to Motion in 4 Limine No. 2, Samsung intends to argue at trial that 5 the plain meaning of a particular claim limitation, 6 such as '976 patent, Claim 1(f), has a requirement that's not written in the claim. This is a belated 7 8 claim construction argument, it's barred by judicial 9 estoppel and waiver. 10 And this is what prompted Headwater's 11 MIL No. 2 because Samsung seeks to support this 12 argument with improper evidence. That's why 13 Headwater's Note 2 seeks to preclude the parties from 14 introducing or referring to IPR statements, testimony 15 of experts not testifying at trial, or related inventor 16 testimony for purposes of arguing the plain meaning of 17 a claim term. 18 Just as background, Your Honor, the 19 limitation at issue, Claim 1(f) of the '976 patent 20 requires "for a time period when data for Internet 2.1 service activities is communicated through a WWAN modem 22 connection to the at least one WWAN, apply a first 2.3 differential traffic control policy." 24 Now, in short, Samsung's position is that the policy must be applied based on network type. And

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

in other words, Samsung believes the policy needs to be applied differently to WWAN networks, which would be like a cellular network, versus WLAN networks, like a That's wrong for various reasons, but wi-fi network. focusing on the evidentiary problems is what we do with respect to MIL No. 2. So, if we could go to slide 29, what you see listed here, Samsung seeks to introduce, as supposed evidence of plain meaning, Headwater's POPR from the '976 patent IPR proceeding. That's DX 389, as well as deposition testimony from Headwater's claim construction expert, Dr. Chrissan. That expert is not coming to trial. And then relatedly, deposition testimony from an inventor, James Lavine, who refers explicitly to Dr. Chrissan's claim construction testimony. So, going on to the next slide, I'll explain why all of this is improper. For starters, introducing Headwater's POPR would plainly violate Court MIL No. 6. Let me interrupt you, Mr. Davis. THE COURT: What do you rely upon to argue that Samsung's witness

What do you rely upon to argue that Samsung's witness is going to rely on the three items you have listed on slide 29 to support what he contends is the plain meaning of the claim?

1 THE COURT: I recall that argument. 2 MR. DAVIS: I'm sorry? 3 THE COURT: I recall that argument from 4 before the break. You don't need to repeat it now. 5 I'm sorry, Your Honor. MR. DAVIS: 6 And so I think it's clear that claim 7 construction is what Dr. Schonfeld is doing because 8 he's trying to add a limitation that we don't see in 9 the claim. Now, this is just sort of under the guise 10 of plain meaning. So, with that, I'm happy to answer 11 any questions you have, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: All right, thank you, Mr. Davis. 13 I'm going to grant the Plaintiff's MIL 14 No. 2 to the extent of a reference by the witness to 15 the POPR and proceedings before the IPR. I'm going to 16 deny it as to the reliance upon Dr. Chrissan and 17 Mr. Lavine. And I'll consider those arguments further 18 to the extent they relate to the pending Daubert 19 motion. But if the MIL is granted just to the extent 20 of the IPR proceedings. 21 Which takes us to No. 3. 22 PICKENS: Good morning, Your Honor. Μy 2.3 name is James Pickens here for Plaintiff Headwater to address Headwater's Motion in Limine No. 3. 24 25 THE COURT: All right.

EDWARD L. REED
EdReedCR@aol.com
409-330-1605